## Case 4:22-mj-71156-MAG Document 16 Filed 09/06/22 Page 1 of 1

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4:22-nj-7/156-MAG-2
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING THE UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Veronica Mora	AND RULE 5./ SEP -6 2022
Defendant(s).	d on 9/6/22, the court excludes time under the Special ALIFOR
For the reasons stated by the parties on the recor Frial Act from	on, the court excludes time under the speedyALIFOR
continuance outweigh the best interest of the pub	plic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.E. §
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	build be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT
The case is so unusual or so comp defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to e	olex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact the expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance wo taking into account the exercise o	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance wo counsel's other scheduled case co See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given emmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the pa the time limits for a preliminary hextending the 30-day time period	t, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first rties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending nearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: $9/6/22$	
	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
	1 Ast
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
6) CITA	Daniel Fastor
	v. 1/10/2019